

Teil I

Allgemeines Völkerrecht

§ 3 Quellen des Völkerrechts

A. Überblick

Vertiefende Literatur zu A.: *J. d'Aspremont*, Formalism and the Sources of International Law, 2011; *A. Boyle/C. Chinkin*, The Making of International Law, 2007; *J. Brunnée*, International Legislation, MPEPIL (10/2010); *A. Clapham*, Beyond the Triad of Sources, FS Riedel, 2013, 73; *V.-D. Degan*, Sources of International Law, 1997; *R. Jennings*, What is International Law and How Do We Tell It When We See It?, SchweizJIR 37 (1981), 59; *E. Kassoti*, Beyond State Consent? International Legal Scholarship and the Challenge of Informal International Law-Making, NILR 63 (2016), 99; *S. Kratzsch*, Rechtsquellen des Völkerrechts außerhalb von Artikel 38 Abs. 1 IGH-Statut, 2000; *A. Orakhelashvili*, The Interpretation of Acts and Rules in Public International Law, 2008; *M. Ruffert*, Gedanken zu den Perspektiven der völkerrechtlichen Rechtsquellenlehre, FS M. Schröder, 2012, 73; *H. Strebelt*, Quellen des Völkerrechts als Rechtsordnung, ZaöRV 36 (1976), 301; *S. Sur*, La créativité du droit international, RdC 363 (2012), 9; *C. Tietje*, Recht ohne Rechtsquellen?, ZfRSoz 2003, 27; *A. Verdross*, Die Quellen des universellen Völkerrechts, 1973; *W. Weiß*, Die Rechtsquellen des Völkerrechts in der Globalisierung: Zu Notwendigkeit und Legitimation neuer Quellenkategorien, AVR 53 (2015), 220.

B. Völkerrechtliche Verträge

Vertiefende Literatur zu B.: zu I. und II., Allgemeines. *A. Bleckmann*, Zur Wandlung der Strukturen der Völkerrechtsverträge, AVR 34 (1996), 218; *G. Blum*, Bilateralism, Multilateralism, and the Architecture of International Law, HarvILJ 49 (2008), 323; *M. Bowman/D. Kritsiotis* (Hg.), Conceptual and Contextual Perspectives on the Modern Law of Treaties, 2018; *E. Cannizzaro* (Hg.), The Law of Treaties Beyond the Vienna Convention, 2011; *M. Fitzmaurice*, Contemporary Issues in the Law of Treaties, 2005; *A. Guzman*, The Design of International Agreements, EJIL 16 (2005), 579; *J. Klabbers*, The Concept of Treaty in International Law, 1996 (Reprint 2006); *ders.*, Treaties, Conclusion and Entry into Force, MPEPIL (9/2006); *J. Klabbers/R. Lefeber* (Hg.), Essays on the Law of Treaties, FS Vierdag, 1998; *B. Koremenos*, The Continent of International Law: Explaining Agreement Design, 2016; *V. de Oliveira Mazzuoli*, The Law of Treaties, 2016; *V. Pergantis*, The Paradigm of State Consent in the Law of Treaties, 2017; *C. Tietje*, The Changing Legal Structure of International Treaties as an Aspect of an Emerging Global Governance Architecture, GYIL 42 (1999), 26; *H. G. Schermers*, International Organisations and the Law of Treaties, GYIL 42 (1999), 56; *C. Tomuschat/H. Neuhold*, Völkerrechtlicher Vertrag und „Drittstaaten“, BDGVR 28 (1988), 9, 51; *M. Villiger*, The 1969 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties: 40 Years After, RdC 344 (2009), 9; *R. Wolf- rum/V. Röben* (Hg.), Developments of International Law in Treaty Making, 2005; *E. Wyrasz*, The Application of International Conventions and Treaties to Third States, CzYIL 8 (2017), 387.

Zu III. *A. Behnsen*, Das Vorbehaltsrecht völkerrechtlicher Verträge: Vorschlag einer Reform, 2007; *T. Giegerich*, Vorbehalte zu Menschenrechtsabkommen, ZaöRV 55 (1995), 713; *ders.*,

Treaties, Multilateral, Reservations to, MPEPIL (10/2010); *W. Heintschel von Heinegg*, Vorbehalte zu völkerrechtlichen Verträgen, *Jura* 1992, 457; *P. Hilpold*, Das Vorbehaltsregime der Wiener Vertragsrechtskonvention, *AVR* 34 (1996), 376; *L. Lijnzaad*, Reservations to UN-Human Rights Treaties: Ratify and Ruin?, 1995; *E. Martens*, Unzulässige Vorbehalte zu Menschenrechtskonventionen, *FS Rauschnig* 2001, 351; *K. McCall-Smith*, Reservations and the Determinative Function of the Human Rights Treaty Bodies, *GYIL* 54 (2012), 521; *dies.*, Severing Reservations, *ICLQ* 63 (2014), 599; *B. Simma*, Reservations to Human Rights Treaties: Some Recent Developments, *FS Seidl-Hohenveldern* 1998, 659; *dies.*, Legal Consequences of an Impermissible Reservation to a Human Rights Treaty, in: *Cannizzaro* (Hg.), *The Law of Treaties Beyond the Vienna Convention*, 2011, 60; *Y. Tyagi*, The Conflict of Law and Policy on Reservations to Human Rights Treaties, *BYIL* 71 (2000), 181; *A. Winkler*, Zulässigkeit und Rechtswirkungen von Vorbehalten nach der Wiener Vertragsrechtskonvention, 2007.

Zu IV. und V. *G. Abi-Saab* u. a. (Hg.), *Evolutionary Interpretation and International Law*, 2019; *M. Andenaes*, Reassertion and Transformation: From Fragmentation to Convergence in International Law, *GeorgeJIL* 46 (2015), 685; *M. Arcari*, The Creeping Constitutionalization and Fragmentation of International Law: From ‘Constitutional’ to ‘Consistent’ Interpretation, *PolyYIL* 33 (2013), 9; *T. Bernárdez*, Interpretation of Treaties by the International Court of Justice following the Adoption of the 1969 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, *FS Seidl-Hohenveldern*, 1998, 721; *K. Berner*, Authentic Interpretation in Public International Law, *ZaöRV* 76 (2016), 845; *R. Bernhardt*, Evolutive Treaty Interpretation, Especially of the European Convention of Human Rights, *GYIL* 42 (1999), 11; *A. Bianchi/D. Peat/M. Windsor* (Hg.), *Interpretation in International Law*, 2015; *E. Bjorge*, The Evolutionary Interpretation of Treaties, 2014; *K. Böth*, Evolutive Auslegung völkerrechtlicher Verträge, 2013; *A. Brötel*, Die Auslegung völkerrechtlicher Verträge im Lichte der Wiener Vertragsrechtskonvention, *Jura* 1988, 343; *T. Buß*, Grenzen der dynamischen Vertragsauslegung im Rahmen der EMRK, *DÖV* 1998, 323; *O. Corten*, Les techniques reproduites aux articles 31 à 33 des conventions de Vienne: approche objectiviste ou approche volontariste de l’interprétation?, *RGDIP* 115 (2011), 351; *M. Dawidowicz*, The Effect of the Passage of Time on the Interpretation of Treaties, *LJIL* 24 (2011), 201; *D. Desierto/C. Gillespie*, Evolutive Interpretation and Subsequent Practice, *ZaöRV* 73 (2013), 549; *G. Di Stefano*, L’interprétation évolutive de la norme internationale, *RGDIP* 115 (2011), 373; *C. Djeffal*, Static and Evolutive Treaty Interpretation, 2016; *M. Fitzmaurice*, Dynamic (Evolutive) Interpretation of Treaties, 2 Teile, *HYIL* 21 (2008), 101 und 22 (2009), 3; *M. Fitzmaurice/O. Elias/P. Merkouris* (Hg.), *Treaty Interpretation and the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties: 30 Years on*, 2010; *M. Forteau*, Les techniques interprétatives de la Cour internationale de Justice, *RGDIP* 115 (2011), 399; *D. French*, Treaty Interpretation and the Incorporation of Extraneous Legal Rules, *ICLQ* 55 (2006), 281; *R. Gardiner*, *Treaty Interpretation*, 2. Aufl. 2015; *M. Herdegen*, *Interpretation in International Law*, MPEPIL (3/2013); *M. Hilf*, Die Auslegung mehrsprachiger Verträge, 1973; *D. Jonas*, The Object and Purpose of a Treaty: Three Interpretive Methods, *VJTL* 43 (2010), 565; *J. Klučka*, The Significance of Principles of International Law for Interpretation and Application of International Treaties, *CzyIL* 8 (2017), 145; *U. Linderfalk*, On the Interpretation of Treaties, 2007; *dies.*, Is the Hierarchical Structure of Articles 31 and 32 of the Vienna Convention Real or Not? Interpreting the Rules of Interpretation, *NILR* 54 (2007), 133; *dies.*, Who Are “The Parties”? Article 31, Paragraph 3(c) of the 1969 Vienna Convention and the “Principle of Systemic Integration” Revisited, *NILR* 55 (2008), 343; *dies.*, The Application of International Legal Norms Over Time: The Second Branch of Intertemporal Law, *NILR* 58 (2011), 147; *C. J. Mahoney*, Treaties as Contracts: Textualism, Contract Theory, and the Interpretation of Treaties, *YLJ* 116 (2007) 824; *C. MacLachlan*, The Principle of Systemic Integration and Article 31(3)(c) of the Vienna Convention, *ICLQ* 54 (2005), 279; *Panos Merkouris*, (Inter)Temporal Considerations in the Interpretative Process of the VCLT: Do Treaties Endure, Perdure or Exdure?, *NYIL*

2014, 121; *ders.*, Article 31(3)(c) VCLT and the Principle of Systems Integration, 2015; *J. D. Mortenson*, The *Travaux* of *Travaux*: Is the Vienna Convention Hostile to Drafting History?, *AJIL* 107 (2013), 780; *B. Nehls*, Die Auslegung mehrsprachiger völkerrechtlicher Verträge, 2019; *Z. Nový*, Evolutionary Interpretation of International Treaties, *CzYIL* 8 (2017), 205; *R. Pavoni*, Mutual Supportiveness as a Principle of Interpretation and Law-Making, *EJIL* 21 (2010), 649; *L. Popa*, Patterns of Treaty Interpretation as Anti-Fragmentation Tools, 2018; *D. Rosentreter*, Article 31(3)(c) of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties and the Principle of Systemic Integration in International Investment Law and Arbitration, 2015; *M. Samson*, High Hopes, Scant Resources: A Word of Scepticism about the Anti-Fragmentation Function of Article 31(3)(c) of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, *LJIL* 24 (2011), 701; *S. Touzé*, Les techniques interprétatives des organes de protection des droits de l'homme, *RGDIP* 115 (2011), 517; *M. Ubéda-Saillard*, Les techniques interprétatives des tribunaux pénaux internationaux, *RGDIP* 115 (2011), 421; *I. Venzke*, How Interpretation Makes International Law, 2012; *E. Vranes*, Der Verhältnismäßigkeitsgrundsatz, *AVR* 47 (2009), 1.

Zu V. und VI. *A. Aust*, Treaties, Termination, *MPEPIL* (6/2006); *R. Bernhardt*, Völkerrechtliche und verfassungsrechtliche Aspekte konkludenter Vertragsänderungen, *FS W. Rudolf*, 2001, 15; *C. Binder*, Die Grenzen der Vertragstreue im Völkerrecht am Beispiel der nachträglichen Änderung der Umstände, 2013; *I. Cameron*, Treaties, Suspension, *MPEPIL* (2/2007); *W. Heintschel von Heinegg*, Probleme der Vertragsbeendigung in der völkerrechtlichen Falllösung, *Jura* 1992, 289; *ders.*, Treaties, Fundamental Change of Circumstances, *MPEPIL* (8/2006); *J. Klabbers*, Treaties, Amendment and Revision, *MPEPIL* (12/2006); *C. Rabl Blaser*, Die *clausula rebus sic stantibus* im Völkerrecht, 2012; *M. Schröder*, Treaties, Validity, *MPEPIL* (1/2015).

C. Das Völkergewohnheitsrecht

Vertiefende Literatur zu C.: **Zu I., III.** *M. Akehurst*, Custom as a Source of International Law, *BYIL* 47 (1974/1975), 1; *N. Arajärvi*, The Changing Nature of Customary International Law, 2014; *R. Baker*, Customary International Law in the 21st Century, *EJIL* 21 (2010), 173; *ders.*, Customary International Law: A Reconceptualization, *BrooklynJIL* 41 (2016), 439; *J. A. Barberis*, La coutume est-elle une source de droit international?, *FS Virally* 1994, 43; *ders.*, Réflexions sur la coutume internationale, *AFDI* 1990, 9; *R. Bernhardt*, Ungeschriebenes Völkerrecht, *ZaöRV* 36 (1976), 50; *C. Bradley* (Hg.), Custom's Future. International Law in a Changing World, 2016; *J. Charney*, Universal International Law, *AJIL* 87 (1983), 529; *ders.*, The Persistent Objector Rule and the Development of Customary International Law, *BYIL* 56 (1985), 1; *S. Besson*, State Consent and Disagreement in International Law-Making, *LJIL* 29 (2016), 289; *L. Condorelli*, Customary International Law, in: Cassese (Hg.), *Realizing Utopia*, 2012, 147; *C. Dahlman*, The Function of *Opinio Juris* in Customary International Law, *NorJIL* 81 (2012), 327; *Y. Dinstein*, The Interaction between Customary International Law and Treaties, *RdC* 322 (2006), 243; *K. Doehring*, Gewohnheitsrecht aus Verträgen, *ZaöRV* 36 (1976), 77; *D. Fidler*, Challenging the Classical Concept of Custom: Perspectives on the Future of Customary International Law, *GYIL* 39 (1996), 198; *J. Fry*, Formation of Customary International Law Through Consensus in International Organisations, *ARIEL* 17 (2012), 49; *K. F. Gärditz*, Ungeschriebenes Völkerrecht durch Systembildung, *AVR* 45 (2007), 1; *R. Geiger*, Customary International Law in the Jurisprudence of the International Court of Justice, *FS Simma*, 2011, 673; *A. Guzman*, Saving Customary International Law, *MichJIL* 27 (2005), 115; *L. Helferl. Wuerth*, Customary International Law: An Instrument Choice Perspective, *MichJIL* 37 (2016), 563; *J. Kammerhofer*, Uncertainty in the Formal Sources of International Law: Customary International Law and Some of Its Problems, *EJIL* 15 (2004), 523 *P. Kelly*, The Twilight

of Customary International Law, *VJIL* 40 (2000), 449; *R. Kolb*, Selected Problems in the Theory of Customary International Law, *NILR* 50 (2003), 199; *B. Leppard*, Customary International Law: A New Theory with Practical Applications, 2010; *ders.*, Reexamining Customary International Law, 2016; *L. Lijnzaad* (Hg.), The Judge and International Custom, 2016; *F. Lusa Bordin*, Reflections on Customary International Law, *ICLQ* 63 (2014), 535; *M. Mendelson*, The Subjective Element in Customary International Law, *BYIL* 66 (1995), 177; *ders.*, The Formation of Customary International Law, *RdC* 272 (1998), 155; *G. Norman/J. Trachtman*, The Customary International Law Game, *AJIL* 99 (2005), 541; *C. Ochoa*, The Individual and Customary International Law Formation, *VJIL* 48 (2007/08), 119; *A. Orakhelashvili*, Natural Law and Customary Law, *ZaöRV* 68 (2008), 69; *A. Paulus*, The Judge and International Custom, *LPICT* 12 (2013), 265; *A. Perreau-Saussine/J.B. Murphy* (Hg.), The Nature of Customary Law, 2007; *N. Petersen*, Der Wandel des ungeschriebenen Völkerrechts im Zuge der Konstitutionalisierung, *AVR* 46 (2008), 502; *R. Piotrowicz*, The Time Factor in the Creation of Rules of Customary International Law, *PolyIL* 21 (1994), 69; *B. Simma*, Das Reziprozitätselement in der Entstehung des Völkergewohnheitsrechts, 1970; *ders.*, Die Erzeugung von ungeschriebenem Völkerrecht, *FS Zemanek* 1994, 95; *H. Taki*, *Opinio Juris* and the Formation of Customary International Law: A Theoretical Analysis, *GYIL* 51 (2008), 447; *P. Tomka*, Custom and the International Court of Justice, *LPICT* 12 (2013), 195; *C. Tomuschat*, Obligations Arising for States Without or Against Their Will, *RdC* 241 (1993), 195; *J. Vanhamme*, Formation and Enforcement of Customary International Law: The European Union's Contribution, *NYIL* 39 (2008), 127; *M. Villiger*, Customary International Law and Treaties, 2. Aufl. 1997; *K. Wolfke*, Custom in Present International Law, 2. Aufl. 1993; *M. Wood*, International Organizations and Customary International Law, *VJTL* 48 (2015), 609; *K. Zemanek*, What is "State practice" and who makes it?, *FS Bernhardt* 1995, 289.

Zu II. *A. Alvarez-Jiménez*, Methods for the Identification of Customary International Law in the International Court of Justice's Jurisprudence: 2000-2009, *ICLQ* 60 (2011), 681; *A. D'Amato*, Groundwork for International Law, *AJIL* 108 (2014), 650 (mit Kommentaren von *J. d'Aspremont* und *M. García-Salmones Rovira*); *A. Bleckmann*, Zur Feststellung und Auslegung von Völkergewohnheitsrecht, *ZaöRV* 37 (1977), 504; *F. Bravo*, Méthodes de recherche de la coutume internationale dans la pratique des Etats, *RdC* 192 (1985), 233; *P. Dumberry*, The Formation and Identification of Rules of Customary International Law in International Investment Law, 2016; *J. Fry*, Formation of Customary International Law Through Consensus in International Organizations, *ARIEL* 17 (2012), 49; *H.-W. Jung*, Rechtskenntnis und Rechtsfortbildung im Völkergewohnheitsrecht, 2012; *A. Reinisch/P. Bachmayer*, The Identification of Customary International Law by Austrian Courts, *ARIEL* 17 (2012), 1; *C. Ryngaert/D. Hora Siccama*, Ascertaining Customary International Law: An Inquiry into the Methods Used by Domestic Courts, *NILR* 65 (2018), 1; *S. Talmon*, Determining Customary International Law: The ICJ's Methodology between Induction, Deduction and Assertion, *EJIL* 26 (2015), 417; *C. Tams*, Die Identifikation des Völkergewohnheitsrechts, *BDGVR* 47 (2016), 323; *S. Yee*, Report on the ILC Project on "Identification of Customary International Law", *ChiJIL* 14 (2015), 375. Schwerpunkt in *ChiJIL* 15 (2016), Heft 1 (Beiträge von *S. Jusoh*, *M. Wood*, *S. Mathias*, *S. Yee*, *R. Mohamad*).

D. Allgemeine Rechtsgrundsätze

Vertiefende Literatur zu D.: *M. Andenas* u. a. (Hg.), General Principles and the Coherence of International Law, 2019; *A. v. Arnould*, Rechtsangleichung durch allgemeine Rechtsgrundsätze? Europäisches Gemeinschaftsrecht und Völkerrecht im Vergleich, in: *Riesenhuber/Takayama* (Hg.), Rechtsangleichung, 2006, 236; *B. Cheng*, General Principles of Law as Applied by

International Courts and Tribunals, 1953 (Reprint 2006); *P. Hulsroj*, Three sources, no river: a hard look at the sources of public international law with particular emphasis on custom and “general principles of law”, *ZÖR* 54 (1999), 219; *R. Kolb*, Principles as Sources of International Law (With Special Reference to Good Faith), *NILR* 53 (2006), 1; *C. Kotuby/L. Sobota*, General Principles of Law and International Due Process, 2017; *U. Linderfalk*, What Are the Functions of the General Principles? Good Faith and International Legal Pragmatics, *ZaöRV* 78 (2018), 1; *P.-Y. Marro*, Allgemeine Rechtsgrundsätze des Völkerrechts, 2010; *J. Rauber*, Strukturwandel als Prinzipienwandel, 2018; *E. Voyiakis*, Do General Principles Fill ‘Gaps’ in International Law?, *ARIEL* 14 (2013), 239; *W. Weiß*, Allgemeine Rechtsgrundsätze des Völkerrechts, *AVR* 39 (2001), 394.

E. Sonstige Rechtsquellen und Rechtserkenntnisquellen

Vertiefende Literatur zu E.: *J. d’Aspremont*, Softness in International Law: A Self-Serving Quest for New Legal Materials, *EJIL* 19 (2008), 1075; *ders.*, La doctrine du droit international face à la tentation de la «juridicisation» sans limites, *RGDIP* 112 (2008), 849; *ders.* u. a. (Hg.), *International Law as a Profession*, 2018; *M. Barelli*, The Role of Soft Law in the International Legal System, *ICLQ* 58 (2009), 957; *L. Blutman*, In the Trap of a Legal Metaphor: International Soft Law, *ICLQ* 59 (2010), 605; *A. v. Bogdandy/I. Venzke* (Hg.), *International Judicial Lawmaking*, 2012; *E. De Brabandere*, The Use of Precedent and External Case Law by the International Court of Justice and the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, *LP ICT* 15 (2016), 24; *I. Breutz*, Der Protest im Völkerrecht, 1997; *O. Dilling/M. Herberg/G. Winter* (Hg.), *Transnational Administrative Rule-Making*, 2011; *C. Eckart*, Promises of States under International Law, 2012; *C. Eick*, Protest, *MPEPIL* (7/2006); *M. Frenzel*, Sekundärrechtssetzungsakte internationaler Organisationen: Völkerrechtliche Konzeption und verfassungsrechtliche Voraussetzungen, 2011; *S. Gadinis*, Three Pathways to Global Standards: Private, Regulator, and Ministry Networks, *AJIL* 109 (2015), 1; *A. Guzman/T. Meyer*, International Common Law: The Soft Law of International Tribunals, *ChiJIL* 9 (2008/09), 515; *H. Hillgenberg*, Soft Law im Völkerrecht, *ZEuS* 1998, 81; *B. B. Jia*, International Case Law in the Development of International Law, *RdC* 382 (2015), 175; *E. Kassoti*, The Juridical Nature of Unilateral Acts in International Law, *FinnYIL* 23 (2012/13), 411; *dies.*, Unilateral Acts Revisited: Common Law v. Civil Law Approaches and Lessons from the International Law Commission’s (Failed) attempt to Codify Unilateral Acts of State, *HYIL* 26 (2013), 168; *J. Klabbers*, Reflections on Soft International Law in a Privatized World, *FYIL* 16 (2005), 313; *S. Kopela*, The Legal Value of Silence as State Conduct in the Jurisprudence of International Tribunals, *AYIL* 29 (2010), 87; *S. Kratzsch*, Rechtsquellen des Völkerrechts außerhalb von Artikel 38 Abs. 1 IGH-Statut, 2000; *F. Lüth*, Soft Law in International Arbitration: Some Thoughts on Legitimacy, *StudZR* 2012, 409; *N. S. Marques Antunes*, Acquiescence, *MPEPIL* (9/2006); *T. Milej*, Entwicklung des Völkerrechts durch internationale Gerichte und Sachverständigengremien, 2014; *K. Parrot-Gibert*, La jurisprudence interne, «source» de droit international conventionnel?, *RGDIP* 113 (2009), 19; *V. Pergantis*, Soft Law, Diplomatic Assurances and the Instrumentalisation of Normativity: With a Liberal Promise?, *NILR* 56 (2009), 137; *A. Pronto*, Understanding the Hard/Soft Distinction in International Law, *VJTL* 48 (2015), 941; *A. Roberts*, Comparative International Law? The Role of National Courts in Creating and Enforcing International Law, *ICLQ* 60 (2011), 57; *V. Rodríguez Cedeño/M. Torres Cazorla*, Unilateral Acts of States in International Law, *MPEPIL* (2/2013); *P. Saganek*, Unilateral Acts of States in Public International Law, 2016; *S. Sivakumaran*, The Influence of Teachings of Publicists on the Development of International Law, *ICLQ* 66 (2017), 1; *E. Suy/N. Angelet*, Promise, *MPEPIL* (12/2007); *D. Thürer*, Soft Law, *MPEPIL* (3/2009); *S. Torp Helmersen*, Scholarly-Judicial Dialo-

gue in International Law, JLP ICT 16 (2017), 464; *M. Wood*, Teachings of the Most Highly Qualified Publicists (Art. 38 (1) ICJ Statute), MPEPIL (10/2010).

F. Verhältnis zwischen den Rechtsquellen

Vertiefende Literatur zu F.: **Zu I.** *M. Akehurst*, The Hierarchy of the Sources of International Law, BYIL 47 (1974/75), 273; *C. Chinkin*, *Jus Cogens*, Article 103 of the UN Charter and Other Hierarchical Techniques of Conflict Solution, FYIL 17 (2006), 63; *R. Crootof*, Change Without Consent: How Customary International Law Modifies Treaties, Yale JIL 41 (2016), 237; *Y. Dinstein*, The Interaction Between Customary International Law and Treaties, RdC 322 (2006), 247; *C. I. Fuentes*, Normative Plurality in International Law: A Theory of the Determination of Applicable Rules, 2016; *A. Ghouri*, Determining Hierarchy Between Conflicting Treaties, AsianJIL 2 (2012), 235; *R. Kolb*, La désuétude en droit international public, RGDIP 111 (2007), 578; *G. Le Floch*, La désuétude en droit international public, RGDIP 111 (2007), 608; *U. Linderfalk*, International Legal Hierarchy Revisited: The Status of Obligations *Erga Omnes*, NorJIL 80 (2011), 1; *A. Lindroos*, Addressing Norm Conflicts in a Fragmented Legal System: The Doctrine of *Lex Specialis*, NorJIL 74 (2005), 27; *N. Matz(-Lück)*, Wege zur Koordinierung völkerrechtlicher Verträge, 2005; *dies.*, Harmonization, Systemic Integration, and “Mutual-Supportiveness” as Conflict-Solution Techniques: Different Modes of Interpretation as a Challenge to Negative Effects of Fragmentation?, FYIL 17 (2006), 39; *dies.*, Treaties, Conflicts between, MPEPIL (10/2010); *D. Shelton*, Normative Hierarchy in International Law, AJIL 100 (2006), 291; *E. Vranes*, *Lex Superior, Lex Specialis, Lex Posterior* – Zur Rechtsnatur der „Konfliktlösungsregeln“, ZaöRV 65 (2005), 391; *dies.*, The Definition of ‘Norm Conflict’ in International Law and Legal Theory, EJIL 17 (2006), 395; *E. de Wet/J. Vidmar* (Hg.), Hierarchy in International Law, 2012; *J. Wouters/S. Verhoeven*, Desuetudo, MPEPIL (11/2008); *M. Zuleeg*, Vertragskonkurrenz im Völkerrecht, 2 Teile, GYIL 20 (1977), 246 und 27 (1984), 367.

Zu II. *A. Bianchi*, Human Rights and the Magic of Jus Cogens, EJIL 19 (2008), 491; *B.-O. Bryde*, Verpflichtungen Erga Omnes aus Menschenrechten, BDGVR 33 (1994), 165; *E. Cannizzaro*, Peremptory Law-Making, in: Liivoja (Hg.), International Law-Making, 2014, 261; *dies.* (Hg.), The Present and Future of Jus Cogens, 2015; *A. Cassese*, For an Enhanced Role of Jus Cogens, in: *dies.* (Hg.), Realizing Utopia, 2012, 158; *W. Conklin*, The Peremptory Norms of the International Community, EJIL 23 (2012), 837; *D. Costelloe*, Legal Consequences of Peremptory Norms in International Law, 2018; *E. Criddle*, A Fiduciary Theory of *jus cogens*, YaleJIL 34 (2009), 331; *M. Dawidowicz*, Third-Party Countermeasures in International Law, 2017; *D. Dubois*, The Authority of Peremptory Norms in International Law: State Consent or Natural Law?, NorJIL 78 (2009), 133; *H.-M. Empell*, Die Staatengemeinschaftsnormen und ihre Durchsetzung, 2003; *C. Focarelli*, Promotional Jus Cogens: A Critical Appraisal of Jus Cogens’ Legal Effects, NorJIL 77 (2008), 429; *J. A. Frowein*, Die Verpflichtung *erga omnes* im Völkerrecht und ihre Durchsetzung, FS Mosler, 1983, 241; *dies.*, Reactions by not Directly Affected States to Breaches of Public International Law, RdC 248 (1994), 353; *dies.*, Ius cogens, MPEPIL (1/2009); *dies.*, Obligations erga omnes, MPEPIL (12/2008); *S. Guan*, *Jus Cogens*: To Revise a Narrative, MinnJIL 26 (2017), 461; *K. Hailbronner*, Sanctions and Third Parties and the Concept of Public International Order, AVR 30 (1992), 2; *S. Kadelbach*, Zwingendes Völkerrecht, 1992; *R. Kolb*, Théorie du jus cogens international, 2001; *dies.*, Observation sur l’évolution du concept de jus cogens, RGDIP 113 (2009), 837; *dies.*, Peremptory International Law – *Jus Cogens*: A General Inventory, 2015; *U. Linderfalk*, The Effect of *Jus Cogens* Norms: Whoever Opened Pandora’s Box, Did You Ever Think About the Consequences?, EJIL 18 (2007), 853; *dies.*, Normative Conflict and the Fuzziness of the International *ius co-*

gens Regime, ZaöRV 69 (2009), 961; *ders.*, The Creation of *Jus Cogens* – Making Sense of Article 53 of the Vienna Convention, ZaöRV 71 (2011), 359; *ders.*, What Is So Special About *Jus Cogens*?, IntCommLR 14 (2012), 3; *ders.*, The Source of *Jus Cogens* Obligations, NorJIL 82 (2013), 369; *ders.*, All the Things You Can Do With *Jus Cogens*, GYIL 56 (2013), 351; *C. Milk*, *Jus Cogens* in Contemporary International Law, PolYIL 33 (2013), 27-94; *A. Orakhelashvili*, Peremptory Norms in International Law, 2006; *A. Paulus*, *Jus Cogens* in a Time of Hegemony and Fragmentation: An Attempt at a Re-appraisal, NorJIL 74 (2005), 297; *M. Saul*, Identifying *Jus Cogens* Norms: The Interaction of Scholars and International Judges, AsianJIL 5 (2015), 26; *N. Schwarz*, *Ius Cogens* als Basis für ein stabiles Völkerrecht, 2013; *C. Tomuschat/J.-M. Thouvenin* (Hg.), The Fundamental Rules of the International Legal Order, 2006; *J. Vidmar*, Rethinking *Jus Cogens* after Germany v. Italy, NILR 60 (2013), 1; *T. Weatherall*, *Jus Cogens: International Law and Social Contract*, 2015; *M. Wood*, Formation and Evidence of Customary International Law, LPICT 12 (2013), 273; *M. Worster*, The Inductive And Deductive Methods in Customary International Law Analysis: Traditional and Modern Approaches, GeorgeJIL 45 (2014), 445.

Zu III. und IV. U. Fastenrath, Lücken im Völkerrecht: Zu Rechtscharakter, Quellen, Systemzusammenhang, Methodenlehre und Funktionen des Völkerrechts, 1991; *F. Francioni*, Equity in International Law, MPEPIL (6/2013); *M. Koskenniemi*, Methodology of International Law, MPEPIL (11/2007); *J. Rauber*, Strukturwandel als Prinzipienwandel, 2018; *ders.* und *M. Goldmann*, Der „Turn to Principles“ im Völkerrecht: Theoretische und methodische Perspektiven auf die Zukunft von Völkerrecht und Völkerrechtswissenschaft, voelkerrechtsblog.org (Mai 26./28.5.2014); *S. Vöneky*, Analogy in International Law, MPEPIL (2/2008); *W. T. Worster*, The Inductive and Deductive Methods in Customary International Law Analysis: Traditional and Modern Approaches, GeorgeJIL 45 (2014), 445.